

was listening. The...that 2,500 is one of the issues that...I mean that 10,000 is one of the issues that hasn't been discussed. This is not a slam dunk easy consent calendar type of bill or a bill that ought to be given short shrift, say you've got this time period to get it in on and we got to get it done. And second thing, we don't need to get this done this year. I know Senator Landis doesn't want to assist in answering questions because of the extension of time and I don't want to unfairly characterize that, but there is not a brewpub out there who's going to go out of business if this thing doesn't pass this year and yet we are taking a short period of time and saying we're going to cram this legislation into that and we're going to force our discussion into that period of time so that we can get it done this year. Why? Because there's some brewpubs out there that have excess capacity, not just enough but excess capacity. There's also a brewpub out there that, knowing what the law is, said I'm going to build it larger. Why? Because we'll change it. We'll change the law. Is it so bad to say...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...we'll wait an extra year to change that law to comply with what he's built? I don't think it is. I think it's...I mean when we look at it, it struck me as something that is that the kind of thing that we want to encourage--if you build it they will change the law? I mean it's...it seems somewhat absurd to me that we change what we're doing, that we change the way we generally deliberately pass bills so that we can take up somebody's excess. I suspect that it's something that in retrospect we will not think was wise policy. I will...I will address the suspension motion on...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR LINDSAY: ...my time.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Lindsay, it's your time.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. Senator Landis filed this motion. I'll tell you where I am on it. I've got on my desk two amendments drafted among this recycling wasteland here, two amendments drafted. One is the next amendment, which would be up if not for the suspension motion